

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/732,827	PRZEPASNIAK ET AL.	
	Examiner Ginger T. Chapman	Art Unit 3761	

All Participants: **Status of Application:** _____

(1) Ginger T. Chapman. (3) _____.

(2) Mr. Neil Batavia. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 January 2009

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 2, 4-5, 7-9, 12 and 14

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Arguments set forth in appeal brief file date 10/29/2009 with respect to materials, claimed configuration of materials in article, limits, use of trademark term in claims; authorization for examiner's amendment.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)